

[redacted] (b)(3)

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 11:56 AM
To: Cynthia L Rapp
Subject: Fw: Draft response re: NYT disclosure language

Can't tell if this actually went. Resending.

From: [redacted] (b)(3)
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 11:43 AM
To: Cynthia L Rapp; [redacted] (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Draft response re: NYT disclosure language

[redacted] (b)(5)

From: Cynthia L Rapp
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 11:18 AM
To: [redacted] (b)(3)
Subject: Draft response re: NYT disclosure language

[redacted] (b)(5)

From: David Sanger [redacted] (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2012 9:19 AM
To: Cynthia L Rapp; Cynthia Rapp
Subject: Re: From David

Didi,

Hope you had a good long weekend. On the questions we discussed last week about our conversations about deleting information on current or future operations, if you folks have thoughts I ought to hear them today. The excerpt is going into its final form.

I think I'll be able to have books to you Thursday or Friday.

Many thanks and all best,
David

On Tue, May 22, 2012 at 5:14 PM, David Sanger [redacted] wrote: (b)(6)
Didi,

Great to talk to you yesterday. I'll have a more formal invitation coming, but pencil in that CSIS book event, from 5:30 to as long as the wine lasts, on June 12. Hoping you, the Director, the Deputy Director and anyone else you think would be interested can stop in; Bob Schieffer will be leading a discussion on Confront and Conceal.

Here's the paragraph we discussed, at the end of the "Note on Sources"

"Following the practice of the *Times* in reporting on national security, I discussed with senior government officials the potential risks of publication of sensitive information that touches ongoing intelligence operations. At the government's request, and in consultation with editors, I withheld a limited number of details that senior government officials said could jeopardize current or planned operations."

The issue at hand is whether this will be specific enough for the [REDACTED] (b)(3)
[REDACTED] So we should discuss whether you want to say explicitly that, without confirming or deny details, the Agency discussed these issues with me and made a series of requests (which I think you will see were completely fulfilled).

Best,
David